



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Litigation & Dispute Resolution 2017

10th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into litigation and dispute resolution work

Published by Global Legal Group, in association with CDR, with contributions from:

ARNECKE SIBETH Rechtsanwälte Steuerberater
Partnerschaftsgesellschaft mbB
Axioma Estudio Legal
Bae, Kim & Lee LLC
Bär & Karrer Ltd.
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
BROSETA
Clayton Utz
Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Inc
Covington & Burling LLP
Drinkier Biddle & Reath LLP
Gün + Partners
Gürlich & Co.
Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants
Holland & Knight LLP
Jackson Walker LLP
Kammeradvokaten, Law Firm Poul Schmith
Kentuadei Adefe, Legal Practitioners, Mediators & Arbitrators
Kobre & Kim
Kubas Kos Gałkowski

Lennox Paton
Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à.r.l.
Makarim & Taira S.
McCann FitzGerald
Miller & Chevalier Chartered
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu
Norburg & Scherp Advokatbyrå AB
Oblin Melichar
Polenak Law Firm
Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
Quevedo & Ponce
Richman Greer, P.A.
Rogério Alves & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.
Sam Okudzeto & Associates
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Souto, Correa, Cesa, Lummertz & Amaral Advogados
Sysouev, Bondar, Khrapoutski SBH Law Office
Waselius & Wist
Zamfirescu Racoti & Partners Attorneys at Law





global legal group

Contributing Editor
Greg Lascelles, Covington & Burling LLP

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Director
Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager
Paul Mochalski

Editor
Caroline Collingwood

Senior Editors
Suzie Levy, Rachel Williams

Chief Operating Officer
Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Publisher
Rory Smith

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Stephens & George
Print Group
April 2017

Copyright © 2017
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-44-4
ISSN 1755-1889

Strategic Partners



General Chapter:

1	Cybersecurity – Greg Lascelles & Salah Mattoo, Covington & Burling LLP	1
---	---	---

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

2	Australia	Clayton Utz: Colin Loveday & Scott Grahame	6
3	Austria	Oblin Melichar: Dr. Klaus Oblin	15
4	Belarus	Sysouev, Bondar, Khrapoutski SBH Law Office: Timour Sysouev & Alexandre Khrapoutski	22
5	Brazil	Souto, Correa, Cesa, Lummertz & Amaral Advogados: Jorge Cesa & Ronaldo Kochem	33
6	British Virgin Islands	Lennox Paton: Scott Cruickshank & Matthew Freeman	40
7	Canada	Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP: Ryder Gilliland & Daniel Styler	54
8	Costa Rica	Axioma Estudio Legal: Andre Vargas Siverio	62
9	Czech Republic	Gürlich & Co.: Richard Gürlich & Kamila Janoušková	69
10	Denmark	Kammeradvokaten, Law Firm Poul Schmith: Kasper Mortensen & Henrik Nedergaard Thomsen	76
11	Ecuador	Quevedo & Ponce: Alejandro Ponce Martínez	84
12	England & Wales	Covington & Burling LLP: Greg Lascelles & Salah Mattoo	90
13	Finland	Waselius & Wist: Tanja Jussila	102
14	Germany	ARNECKE SIBETH Rechtsanwälte Steuerberater Partnerschaftsgesellschaft mbB: Dr. Robert Safran & Ulrich Stepler	110
15	Ghana	Sam Okudzeto & Associates: Esine Okudzeto & Rosemary Owusu	119
16	Indonesia	Makarim & Taira S.: Alexandra Gerungan & Raditya Anugerah Titus	126
17	Ireland	McCann FitzGerald: Seán Barton & Megan Hooper	133
18	Japan	Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Koki Yanagisawa	142
19	Korea	Bae, Kim & Lee LLC: Kap-You (Kevin) Kim & John P. Bang	150
20	Luxembourg	Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à.r.l.: Véronique Hoffeld	157
21	Macedonia	Polenak Law Firm: Tatjana Popovski Buloski & Aleksandar Dimic	164
22	Nigeria	Kentuadei Adefe, Legal Practitioners, Mediators & Arbitrators: Kentuadei Adefe & Ottah Nelson	174
23	Poland	Kubas Kos Galkowski: Paweł Sikora & Wojciech Wandzel	183
24	Portugal	Rogério Alves & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.: Rogério Alves	191
25	Romania	Zamfirescu Racoți & Partners Attorneys at Law: Cosmin Vasile & Alina Tugearu	199
26	South Africa	Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Inc: Pieter Conradie & Anja Hofmeyr	207
27	Spain	BROSETA: Patricia Gualde & Alfonso Carrillo	215
28	Sweden	Norburg & Scherp Advokatbyrå AB: Fredrik Norburg & Erika Finn	225
29	Switzerland	Bär & Karrer Ltd.: Matthew Reiter & Simone Burlet-Fuchs	232
30	Turkey	Gün + Partners: Pelin Baysal & Beril Yayla Sapan	240
31	Turks & Caicos Islands	Kobre & Kim: Tim Prudhoe & Alexander Heylin	248
32	United Arab Emirates	Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants: Hamdan Alshamsi	255
33	USA – California	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP: Jason D. Russell & Hillary A. Hamilton	262
34	USA – Delaware	Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP: Jonathan A. Choa & John A. Sensing	270
35	USA – Florida	Richman Greer, P.A.: Leora B. Freire & Leslie Arsenault Metz	278
36	USA – Georgia	Holland & Knight LLP: Laurie Webb Daniel & Harold T. Daniel, Jr.	285
37	USA – Illinois	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Justin O. Kay	294

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

38	USA – New Jersey	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Andrew B. Joseph & William A. Wright	303
39	USA – New York	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Clay J. Pierce & Marsha J. Indych	310
40	USA – Pennsylvania	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Michael W. McTigue Jr. & Jennifer B. Dempsey	319
41	USA – Texas	Jackson Walker LLP: Retta A. Miller & Devanshi M. Somaya	326
42	USA – Washington, D.C.	Miller & Chevalier Chartered: Brian A. Hill & John C. Eustice	333

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the tenth edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Litigation & Dispute Resolution*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of litigation and dispute resolution.

It is divided into two main sections:

One general chapter. This chapter provides an overview of Cybersecurity, particularly from a UK perspective.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in litigation and dispute resolution in 41 jurisdictions, with the USA being sub-divided into 10 separate state-specific chapters.

All chapters are written by leading litigation and dispute resolution lawyers and industry specialists, and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editor Greg Lascelles of Covington & Burling LLP for his invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The *International Comparative Legal Guide* series is also available online at www.iclg.com.

Alan Falach LL.M.
Group Consulting Editor
Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

USA – Delaware

Jonathan A. Choa



John A. Sensing



Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP

I. LITIGATION

1 Preliminaries

1.1 What type of legal system has your jurisdiction got? Are there any rules that govern civil procedure in your jurisdiction?

Delaware is governed by a hybrid of constitutional provisions, statutes, and case law. Matters pending before each court are subject to the rules of practice and procedure of that court and other local court rules and directives.

1.2 How is the civil court system in your jurisdiction structured? What are the various levels of appeal and are there any specialist courts?

Article IV of the Constitution of Delaware establishes a Supreme Court, Court of Chancery, Superior Court, Family Court, Court of Common Pleas, and Justice of the Peace Courts.

The Supreme Court of Delaware is the highest court and is the only true appellate court. Civil appeals from both the Superior Court and the Court of Chancery are heard directly by the Supreme Court and parties have an absolute right of appeal from final judgments entered in either the Superior Court or the Court of Chancery.

The Delaware Constitution preserves the historic divide between law, in the Superior Court, and equity, in the Court of Chancery. The Superior Court is Delaware’s trial court of general jurisdiction. It has state wide original jurisdiction over all civil cases, except equity cases. The Court of Chancery has jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters and causes in equity. The Delaware legislature has vested additional statutory jurisdiction in the Court of Chancery with respect to various corporate matters.

Delaware is also home to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, which is a federal court as opposed to a Delaware state court.

1.3 What are the main stages in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? What is their underlying timeframe (please include a brief description of any expedited trial procedures)?

Pleading. Generally, a plaintiff commences a civil proceeding by filing a complaint and promptly serving the complaint on all defendants. A defendant has 20 days to file a responsive pleading after the defendant has been served. The defendant also pleads

affirmative defences in the answer and certain defences are waived if not made at the time the answer is filed. In lieu of filing an answer, the defendant may file a motion to dismiss.

Discovery. Discovery is the opportunity to develop facts. Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action. Discovery can be in the form of depositions upon oral examination or written questions; written interrogatories; production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or permission to enter upon land or other property, for inspection and other purposes; physical and mental examinations; and requests for admission.

Trial. There is an absolute right to a trial by jury in an action at law (*i.e.*, in the Superior Court), but not in an action in equity (*i.e.*, in the Court of Chancery). In a jury trial, the judge decides questions of law, and the jury decides questions of fact. In a non-jury trial, the judge decides questions of fact and questions of law.

Final Judgment and Appeal. Generally, parties have an absolute right of appeal from final judgments to the Delaware Supreme Court. An appeal is commenced by a notice of appeal. A notice of appeal must be filed with the Supreme Court within 30 days after entry upon the docket of the judgment, order or decree from which the appeal is taken. Generally, only questions fairly presented to the trial court may be presented for review.

The Court of Chancery has broad power to permit expedited proceedings, but expedition is not granted as a matter of right. Expedition can be sought at any phase of the pre-trial proceedings, however, a motion to expedite must be filed contemporaneously with any motion for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunction, and at the inception of statutory summary proceedings. With the exception of statutory summary proceedings, which are automatically afforded a level of expedited treatment, the Court of Chancery will grant a motion to expedite only upon a showing of good cause by the party seeking the accelerated schedule.

The Superior Court has established a Complex Commercial Litigation Division designed to expeditiously resolve certain qualifying large commercial disputes where equitable jurisdiction is lacking, in similar fashion to the Court of Chancery.

1.4 What is your jurisdiction’s local judiciary’s approach to exclusive jurisdiction clauses?

Exclusive jurisdiction clauses, also known as forum selection clauses, are presumptively valid in Delaware and will be specifically enforced unless the resisting party clearly shows that enforcement would be unreasonable and unjust, or that the forum selection clause is itself invalid for such reasons as fraud and overreaching.

1.5 What are the costs of civil court proceedings in your jurisdiction? Who bears these costs? Are there any rules on costs budgeting?

Costs in civil proceedings vary according to the court and nature of the action. Additional fees may also be assessed throughout the litigation. Generally, the initial fee to file a complaint in the Superior Court is \$190.00. In the Court of Chancery, the initial fee to file a new action is \$250.00 with one or two defendants, \$350.00 with three or more defendants, and \$600.00 for a case asserting class action or derivative claims. A motion or application for expedited proceeding costs \$300.00.

Authority to tax costs to the prevailing party in civil cases is granted by statute and is governed by court rules. The amount of the fees to be taxed is within the discretion of the trial court.

Delaware follows the general rule that, regardless of the outcome of litigation, each party is responsible for paying his or her own attorneys' fees.

1.6 Are there any particular rules about funding litigation in your jurisdiction? Are contingency fee/conditional fee arrangements permissible?

Under the Delaware Rules of Professional Conduct, the fees charged by an attorney must be reasonable. Written contingency fee arrangements are permissible if they comply with Delaware Lawyers' Rules of Professional Conduct 1.5 and applicable Delaware law (other than divorce-domestic relations matters and representing a defendant in a criminal case).

There are no particular rules about funding litigation, subject to the doctrines of champerty and maintenance.

1.7 Are there any constraints to assigning a claim or cause of action in your jurisdiction? Is it permissible for a non-party to litigation proceedings to finance those proceedings?

Delaware common law still recognises the doctrines of champerty and maintenance, which restrict certain assignments. An assignment is champertous if an assignee of a cause of action initiates litigation at his or her own risk and expense in exchange for a share of the proceeds if successful. "Maintenance" is the intermeddling of a disinterested third-party to encourage a lawsuit by maintaining or assisting either party to the action, with money or otherwise. Recently, however, the Delaware Superior Court has held that third-party litigation funding does not run afoul of the doctrines of champerty or maintenance.

1.8 Can a party obtain security for/a guarantee over its legal costs?

In every case in which the plaintiff is not at the time of filing the complaint a resident of Delaware, or being so, afterwards moves from Delaware, an order for security for costs may be entered upon motion.

2 Before Commencing Proceedings

2.1 Is there any particular formality with which you must comply before you initiate proceedings?

Generally, there are no specific formalities with which a plaintiff must comply before initiating proceedings by filing a complaint.

Potential plaintiffs may be required to comply with requirements to exhaust administrative remedies with state government agencies (e.g., when filing suit against government entities or in employment law cases). Notice may also be required in certain types of cases such as landlord-tenant disputes. Delaware courts may proceed very quickly, in particular the Court of Chancery. In the event of expedited proceedings, plaintiffs should be prepared to present the basics of their case soon after filing the complaint. Plaintiffs should consider the relief sought when choosing which Delaware state court in which to initiate proceedings. At the trial level, only the Court of Chancery can grant injunctive relief.

2.2 What limitation periods apply to different classes of claim for the bringing of proceedings before your civil courts? How are they calculated? Are time limits treated as a substantive or procedural law issue?

The statute of limitations for various claims are summarised in the table below.

Injury to person, libel/slander/defamation	2 years	10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 8119
Wrongful death, injury to personal property	2 years	10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 8107
Medical malpractice	2–3 years	10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 6856
Trespass, contracts, collection of debt, fraud	3 years	10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 8106
Judgments	5 years	10 <i>Del. C.</i> § 5072

The limitations period is calculated from the time a cause of action accrues. However, such time may be tolled where an appropriate basis exists. For example, the period may be tolled under the "time of discovery rule" where the cause of action was inherently unknowable and the plaintiff was blamelessly ignorant of the cause of action. Time limits are treated as a procedural law issue in Delaware courts. Delaware has a "borrowing statute" (10 *Del. C.* § 8121) which may apply the shorter of competing statutes of limitations.

3 Commencing Proceedings

3.1 How are civil proceedings commenced (issued and served) in your jurisdiction? What various means of service are there? What is the deemed date of service? How is service effected outside your jurisdiction? Is there a preferred method of service of foreign proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Civil cases are commenced by the filing of a complaint (or, where required by statute, a petition or statement of claim), a praecipe, a court-specific civil information cover sheet and payment of the filing fee. In the Court of Chancery, the complaint must be verified by the plaintiff or plaintiff's authorised agent (Chancery Court Rule 3(aa)). The court will issue a summons to each defendant for service according to the information provided in the *praecipe*. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to effect proper service on each defendant.

Typically, personal service is made in accordance with 10 *Del. C.* § 3103, which allows the Delaware Courts to define appropriate rules of service.

Delaware statutes control service on corporations. Service of legal process upon any Delaware corporation may be made by delivery to

any officer or director of the corporation, or the registered agent of the corporation, in Delaware. 8 *Del. C.* § 321. Service of process on domestic limited liability companies is made in similar fashion. See 6 *Del. C.* § 18-105. Service on corporations may also be made pursuant to 10 *Del. C.* § 3111, which allows for service on foreign corporations by serving any agent of a corporation in Delaware when none of the officers, directors, or managers or a registered agent reside in Delaware.

Service on persons outside the jurisdiction may be made by any of the methods specified in 10 *Del. C.* § 3104(d), including service by the manner of the foreign jurisdiction and mail to the person to be served with a signed receipt.

In Delaware, non-resident directors, trustees, members of the governing body or officers of a Delaware corporation may be served through the registered agent of the Delaware corporation in cases where such person is a necessary or proper party in proceedings by, on behalf of, or against the corporation. 10 *Del. C.* § 3114. Further information regarding service in Delaware can be found in Titles 6, 8, 10 and 12 of the Delaware Code.

3.2 Are any pre-action interim remedies available in your jurisdiction? How do you apply for them? What are the main criteria for obtaining these?

Pre-action interim remedies are not available in Delaware. In the Court of Chancery, a plaintiff may move for a temporary restraining order or preliminary injunctive relief following the filing of the complaint. To obtain preliminary injunctive relief, the movant must show a reasonable likelihood of success on the merits, imminent irreparable harm that will result if an injunction is not granted, and that the damage to the plaintiff if the injunction does not issue will exceed damage to the defendant if the injunction does issue (called the “balancing of the equities”). Public interest considerations may also be relevant. A preliminary injunction is unlikely to issue where plaintiff unreasonably delayed in filing its complaint or seeking preliminary injunctive relief.

In exceptional circumstances, a temporary restraining order may be obtained on an *ex parte* basis with a subsequent full hearing on a motion for a preliminary injunction soon following. To obtain a temporary restraining order, a movant must demonstrate a colourable claim on the merits, imminent irreparable harm to the movant if a temporary restraining order is not granted, and that the balancing of the equities favours the movant.

3.3 What are the main elements of the claimant's pleadings?

Every pleading must contain a caption setting forth the name of the Court, the title of the action, the case number, if assigned, and a brief descriptive title indicating the nature of the document, (*e.g.*, “Complaint”). A complaint shall contain (1) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and (2) a demand for judgment for the relief to which the party deems itself entitled. Relief in the alternative or of several different types may be demanded. All averments of a claim shall be made in numbered paragraphs, the contents of each of which shall be limited as far as practicable to a single set of circumstances. Each claim founded upon a separate transaction or occurrence shall be stated in a separate count whenever separation facilitates the clear presentation of the matters set forth. Pleadings shall be signed by at least one attorney of record or, if a party is not represented by an attorney, by the party.

Delaware is a notice pleading state. Thus, a plaintiff need only plead enough facts to plausibly suggest that the plaintiff will ultimately be

entitled to the relief sought. Pleading special matters including, but not limited to fraud, mistake, and condition of the mind, require pleading with further particularity. Other special matters may have specific requirements pursuant to the Court’s local rules or Delaware statutes.

3.4 Can the pleadings be amended? If so, are there any restrictions?

A party may amend its pleadings once as a matter of course before a responsive pleading is served or, if no responsive pleading is permitted and a trial date has not been set, within 20 days after service. Otherwise, a party may amend its pleadings only by leave of court or written consent of the adverse party. Delaware Courts freely give leave to amend where justice so requires.

3.5 Can the pleadings be withdrawn? If so, at what stage and are there any consequences?

A plaintiff may dismiss an action on its own by filing a notice of dismissal only before an answer is served or motion for summary judgment is filed. Otherwise, an action may be voluntarily dismissed by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all the parties who have appeared in the action. Unless otherwise stated in the stipulation or notice of dismissal, dismissals are without prejudice except where a plaintiff has once dismissed in any court of the United States, or of any state an action based on or including the same claim.

4 Defending a Claim

4.1 What are the main elements of a statement of defence? Can the defendant bring counterclaims/claim or defence of set-off?

A defendant generally has two options in responding to a complaint: (1) it may move to dismiss one or more of the claims; or (2) it may answer the complaint. In the answer, the defendant must address each individual allegation separately. In doing so, the defendant may admit or deny the allegation, claim that it lacks sufficient knowledge to answer the allegation, or refuse to respond because the allegation calls for a legal conclusion. Finally, a defendant’s answer must assert all relevant affirmative defences or risk waiver of those defences.

A defendant may also assert counterclaims, cross-claims, or a third-party complaint in its answer. These claims can be related to or separate from the claims raised in the plaintiff’s complaint.

4.2 What is the time limit within which the statement of defence has to be served?

The Court of Chancery and Superior Court require that a motion to dismiss or answer be served within 20 days of service of the complaint. In federal court, the time period is 21 days. Reasonable extensions to this time period are not uncommon.

4.3 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a defendant can pass on or share liability by bringing an action against a third party?

Yes, defendants may file third-party complaints against third-parties that may share liability in the action.

4.4 What happens if the defendant does not defend the claim?

A plaintiff may move for a default judgment against a defendant that fails to respond to a complaint.

4.5 Can the defendant dispute the court's jurisdiction?

A defendant can dispute personal jurisdiction through a motion to dismiss. Failure to timely contest personal jurisdiction will waive the defence. A defendant may also dispute a court's subject matter jurisdiction. This defence cannot be waived, can be raised by a defendant at any time during the litigation, and can be raised by the court *sua sponte*.

5 Joinder & Consolidation

5.1 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a third party can be joined into ongoing proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Joinder of third parties may be mandatory or permissive. Mandatory joinder is applicable where: (1) complete relief cannot be accorded among the present parties absent the third party; or (2) the third-party claims an interest relating to the subject matter in the litigation and is so situated that disposition of the action in its absence may (i) impair or impede those interests, or (ii) leaves a party to the action subject to a substantial risk of incurring double, multiple, or inconsistent obligations by reason of the claimed interest. Joinder will be permitted only to the extent that the joined party does not deprive the court of subject matter jurisdiction.

Permissive joinder applies when a third-party asserts any right to relief jointly, severally, or alternatively arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences and if any question of law or fact common to that non-party will arise in the proceeding.

5.2 Does your civil justice system allow for the consolidation of two sets of proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Delaware courts have the discretion to consolidate any or all pre-trial matters at issue in multiple actions. Consideration in ordering consolidation include whether the actions involve a common question of law or fact relating to the same transaction or series of transactions. Consolidation for trial purposes is rarer.

5.3 Do you have split trials/bifurcation of proceedings?

The courts of Delaware may, in the furtherance of convenience or to avoid prejudice, or when separate trials would be expedient and economical, order separate trials on any claim, cross-claim, counterclaim, third-party claim, or any separate issue of the same.

6 Duties & Powers of the Courts

6.1 Is there any particular case allocation system before the civil courts in your jurisdiction? How are cases allocated?

In most instances, new cases are assigned to Delaware trial court judges on a rotating basis. Cases that are related, either by party or claim, are typically assigned to the same judge. In the federal district court, parties have the option of having their case assigned to a magistrate judge. In the Court of Chancery, certain cases, like those involving wills and trusts, may first be assigned to a Master.

6.2 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any particular case management powers? What interim applications can the parties make? What are the cost consequences?

Delaware courts are afforded expansive discretion in managing their litigation dockets. Cases filed in the Delaware Superior Court are typically scheduled for an early status conference where the parties meet the assigned judge to establish a reasonable trial scheduling order. The Delaware Court of Chancery frequently accommodates requests for expedited proceedings in conjunction with applications for preliminary injunctive relief.

6.3 What sanctions are the courts in your jurisdiction empowered to impose on a party that disobeys the court's orders or directions?

Delaware courts possess the inherent power to enforce their orders and deter a wide variety of contumacious conduct by imposing sanctions for civil or criminal contempt. Sanctions are most commonly imposed as a consequence of a litigant's failure to comply with discovery orders. In that situation, potential sanctions include, but are not limited to, the court deeming certain facts established, prohibiting the introduction of certain evidence, striking all or a portion of the pleadings, and even entering judgment by default.

6.4 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have the power to strike out part of a statement of case or dismiss a case entirely? If so, at what stage and in what circumstances?

Delaware courts may strike any insufficient defence or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter either in response to a motion or at any time on the court's own initiative. Litigants are entitled to move to dismiss a complaint in whole or in part for failing to state a claim upon which relief can be granted either before filing a responsive pleading or after the pleadings are closed, but within such time as not to delay the trial. To resolve a motion to dismiss, Delaware courts are required to accept all well-pleaded factual allegations in the complaint as true, accept even vague allegations in the complaint as well-pleaded if they provide the defendant notice of the claim, and deny the motion to dismiss unless the plaintiff could not recover under any reasonably conceivable set of circumstances susceptible of proof.

6.5 Can the civil courts in your jurisdiction enter summary judgment?

Delaware courts may enter summary judgment if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Certain judges in the federal district court require the parties to move for permission before filing summary judgment motions.

6.6 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any powers to discontinue or stay the proceedings? If so, in what circumstances?

Delaware courts are empowered to stay proceedings in order to promote economies of time and effort for the court, litigants, and counsel. Delaware courts have exercised their authority to issue a stay in a variety of contexts; for instance, courts have stayed discovery pending resolution of a motion to dismiss a complaint, and also entered a stay of all proceedings in deference to parallel litigation pending in another jurisdiction or forum, for example arbitration or patent proceedings in the Patent and Trademark Office.

7 Disclosure

7.1 What are the basic rules of disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? Is it possible to obtain disclosure pre-action? Are there any classes of documents that do not require disclosure? Are there any special rules concerning the disclosure of electronic documents or acceptable practices for conducting e-disclosure, such as predictive coding?

Delaware permits broad discovery of any non-privileged matter that is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defence of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defence of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter.

Methods of discovery include depositions upon oral examination or written questions, written interrogatories, production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or permission to enter upon land or other property, physical and mental examinations, and requests for admission. While declining to adopt a “one-size-fits-all” approach to the collection and review of electronic documents, the federal district court and the Court of Chancery have separately promulgated a series of written recommendations designed to provide counsel with guidance as to its expectations on the preservation and disclosure of electronic discovery.

Predictive coding has not received broad approval and its acceptance varies from judge to judge.

7.2 What are the rules on privilege in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Delaware recognises a number of privileges, including the attorney-client privilege, physician-patient privilege, spousal privilege, and priest-penitent privilege. Generally speaking and subject to any

applicable exceptions, most notably waiver, a person claiming one of these privileges may refuse to disclose – or prevent any other person from disclosing – the information that is the subject of that privilege. No inferences may be drawn in connection with the assertion of a legal privilege.

7.3 What are the rules in your jurisdiction with respect to disclosure by third parties?

Third parties may be subpoenaed to provide the same discovery as a party. A Delaware attorney may issue and sign a subpoena as an officer of the Court. The litigant or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a third-party subpoena is obligated to take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on the person subject to that subpoena. In the federal system, a Delaware subpoena can be served anywhere in the United States. In the state court system, if the person or entity to be subpoenaed is outside of Delaware, a commission may be necessary to secure a subpoena from the state where the person or entity is located.

7.4 What is the court's role in disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Delaware courts wield broad supervisory powers over the civil discovery process and are permitted to exercise those powers in response to a motion or on their own initiative after reasonable notice. Litigants most commonly invoke the court's oversight through motions to compel or to protect against certain discovery. Disputes resolved in this context subject the loser to the risk of paying the winner's reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees. The federal district court, Delaware Superior Court and the Delaware Court of Chancery have appointed special discovery masters to oversee cases with unusually frequent or contentious discovery disputes and to prepare written recommendations as to how those disputes might be resolved.

7.5 Are there any restrictions on the use of documents obtained by disclosure in your jurisdiction?

As a general rule, proceedings in a Delaware civil action are considered a matter of public record. As a matter of practice, however, parties frequently submit joint applications seeking court permission to maintain the confidentiality of certain categories of information that would otherwise be disclosed in litigation. Delaware courts are most likely to protect and prohibit from public disclosure information such as trade secrets; sensitive proprietary information; financial, business, or personnel records; personal information such as medical records; and personally identifying information such as social security numbers, financial account numbers, and the names of minor children.

8 Evidence

8.1 What are the basic rules of evidence in your jurisdiction?

The Delaware Rules of Evidence govern the admissibility of evidence in the Delaware state courts. The Federal Rules of Evidence govern proceedings in the federal district court. The two sets of rules are substantively similar.

8.2 What types of evidence are admissible, which ones are not? What about expert evidence in particular?

All relevant evidence is admissible, unless otherwise provided by statute or the applicable rules of evidence. “Relevant evidence” means evidence having any tendency to make the existence of any fact that is of consequence to the determination of the action more probable or less probable than it would be without the evidence. Relevant evidence may nevertheless be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues or misleading the jury, or by considerations of undue delay, waste of time or needless presentation of cumulative evidence.

Generally, if scientific, technical or other specialised knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training or education may testify thereto in the form of an opinion or otherwise, if: (1) the testimony is based upon sufficient facts or data; (2) the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods; and (3) the witness has applied the principles and methods reliably to the facts of the case.

8.3 Are there any particular rules regarding the calling of witnesses of fact? The making of witness statements or depositions?

A witness may not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that he has personal knowledge of the matter. If the witness is not testifying as an expert, the witness’ testimony is limited to opinions or inferences which are: (a) rationally based on the perception of the witness; (b) helpful to a clear understanding of the witness’ testimony or the determination of a fact in issue; and (c) not based on scientific, technical or other specialised knowledge.

Generally, witness statements, other than those of an opposing party, are not admissible at trial if the witness does not appear at trial. However, depositions can be introduced at trial under certain circumstances, if otherwise admissible under the applicable rules of evidence. The deposition of a party may be used by an adverse party for any purpose.

8.4 Are there any particular rules regarding instructing expert witnesses, preparing expert reports and giving expert evidence in court? Does the expert owe his/her duties to the client or to the court?

Parties must disclose the identity of expert witnesses and their area of testimony before trial. Discussions between counsel and consulting experts are generally protected by the attorney-client privilege. As to testifying experts, the federal district court and the Delaware Superior Court have specific rules that protect most communications between counsel and testifying experts, including draft reports. In the Court of Chancery, such protection can be agreed to by the parties.

Experts are typically retained by a party to support its position in a lawsuit and the opposing party examines the credibility of that expert during cross-examination. However, the court does have the power to appoint its own experts.

9 Judgments & Orders

9.1 What different types of judgments and orders are the civil courts in your jurisdiction empowered to issue and in what circumstances?

Delaware courts may issue final judgments in accordance with a jury verdict or on the court’s own factual findings. Delaware courts may also issue summary judgments without trial and declaratory judgments. Delaware courts also have the power to issue interlocutory orders.

9.2 What powers do your local courts have to make rulings on damages/interests/costs of the litigation?

Delaware courts follow the American Rule where each party bears its own costs of litigation, including attorney’s fees, absent a rule, statute or contractual obligation to the contrary. Delaware courts and juries have the power to make rulings on damages, including compensatory and punitive damages. A jury’s damage award can be amended by the court. The Court of Chancery will not order punitive damages. In tort actions in the Superior Court and Court of Common Pleas, interest is added to any final judgment under certain circumstances.

9.3 How can a domestic/foreign judgment be recognised and enforced?

Domestic judgments are enforced through the Superior Court pursuant to Superior Court Civil Rule 69.

Foreign judgments may be enforced in Delaware pursuant to 10 *Del. C.* § 4781 *et seq.* Foreign judgments must first be domesticated by filing in the Superior Court. Such judgments shall have the same effect and subject to the same procedures, defences, and proceedings for reopening, vacating or staying, as a judgment of the Superior Court of Delaware and may be enforced or satisfied in like manner. A foreign judgment requires a triple seal or apostille from the issuing foreign court.

9.4 What are the rules of appeal against a judgment of a civil court of your jurisdiction?

Appeals shall be made within 30 days after entry of a judgment, order or decree. Cross appeal may be made within the greater of 15 days after the first notice of appeal or the time otherwise allowed. The Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware set forth the rules for appealing a civil court order or judgment. The United States Courts of Appeal have their own set of rules.

10 Settlement

10.1 Are there any formal mechanisms in your jurisdiction by which parties are encouraged to settle claims or which facilitate the settlement process?

The Superior Court requires that the parties in all cases participate in ADR in a form to be agreed on by the parties. The federal district court will assign a magistrate judge to assist in mediation.

II. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1 General

1.1 What methods of alternative dispute resolution are available and frequently used in your jurisdiction? Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals (or other specialist courts)/Ombudsman? (Please provide a brief overview of each available method.)

In Delaware, parties may agree upon different methods of alternative dispute resolution including: arbitration, where a neutral arbitrator hears both sides of a controversy and renders a decision based on the facts and law; mediation, where a mediator facilitates the parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of a controversy; and neutral assessment, where a neutral assessor provides the parties with an evaluation of the merits of the controversy.

1.2 What are the laws or rules governing the different methods of alternative dispute resolution?

Delaware has the Delaware Uniform Arbitration Act, which is similar to the Federal Arbitration Act. Delaware also has the Delaware Rapid Arbitration Act, which allows for expedited arbitration. Mediation is available to consenting parties in litigation in the Court of Chancery, as well as to parties with business and technology disputes where no litigation is pending but the amount in controversy exceeds \$1,000,000. Such mediations will be conducted by a judicial officer of the Court of Chancery.

1.3 Are there any areas of law in your jurisdiction that cannot use Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals/Ombudsman as a means of alternative dispute resolution?

Delaware courts favour alternative dispute resolution and will typically allow for it upon agreement of the parties. In Superior Court, alternative dispute resolution is compulsory in all civil cases save a few exceptions including class actions, replevin, foreign or domestic attachment, statutory penalty and mortgage foreclosure actions, and *in forma pauperis* actions, as well as a number of enumerated special proceedings.

1.4 Can local courts provide any assistance to parties that wish to invoke the available methods of alternative dispute resolution? For example, will a court – pre or post the constitution of an arbitral tribunal – issue interim or provisional measures of protection (i.e. holding orders pending the final outcome) in support of arbitration proceedings, will the court force parties to arbitrate when they have so agreed, or will the court order parties to mediate or seek expert determination? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

Delaware courts are generally only involved in deciding whether or not a dispute is subject to arbitration and the scope of that

arbitration. After the decision, Delaware courts do not typically issue interim orders during the actual arbitration. As to mediation, the federal court will appoint a magistrate judge to assist the parties in mediating. The Superior Court requires the parties to engage in alternative dispute resolution for most actions.

1.5 How binding are the available methods of alternative dispute resolution in nature? For example, are there any rights of appeal from arbitration awards and expert determination decisions, are there any sanctions for refusing to mediate, and do settlement agreements reached at mediation need to be sanctioned by the court? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

Parties can move to confirm or vacate arbitral awards in the Delaware courts. That right can be limited by agreement. For example, under the Delaware Rapid Arbitration Act, the parties can agree to have no review, review before an Appellate Arbitrator selected by the parties, or review before an Appellate Arbitrator selected by the Court of Chancery. In the absence of such an agreement, a review may be conducted by the Delaware Supreme Court in conformity with the Federal Arbitration Act.

Other than in Superior Court, a party typically cannot be forced to engage in alternative dispute resolution.

Settlement agreements generally do not need to be sanctioned by the court. Exceptions where court approval is required include class actions and derivative actions brought on behalf of a company.

2 Alternative Dispute Resolution Institutions

2.1 What are the major alternative dispute resolution institutions in your jurisdiction?

Most national alternative dispute resolution institutions, such as the American Arbitration Association (AAA) and JAMS are available in Delaware.

**Jonathan A. Choa**

Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington DE 19801
USA

Tel: +1 302 984 6189
Email: jchoa@potteranderson.com
URL: www.potteranderson.com

Jonathan A. Choa is a partner in the firm's General Litigation Group. He has a wide ranging practice focused on technology disputes. Jonathan has represented companies in patent infringement actions, breach of contract suits, as well as in licensing disputes. He also has extensive experience representing clients in complex commercial disputes, including securities claims and corporate disputes. He has represented clients in federal and state court proceedings and has experience in appellate proceedings, including preparing briefs for actions before the United States Courts of Appeal for the Federal and Second Circuits.

His clients include Fortune 500 e-commerce companies, pharmaceutical companies, cell phone and semiconductor manufacturers and large financial institutions.

**John A. Sensing**

Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP
1313 North Market Street
Wilmington DE 19801
USA

Tel: +1 302 984 6093
Email: jsensing@potteranderson.com
URL: www.potteranderson.com

John A. Sensing is a partner in the firm's General Litigation Group. He represents businesses and individuals in a myriad of commercial litigation matters in Delaware courts, focusing on complex commercial litigation, business tort matters, and contract disputes, as well as the defence of federal antitrust and securities claims. He also represents clients in expedited matters relating to the enforcement of contractual restrictive covenants, and represents insurance policyholders in complex coverage litigation against their insurers.

John practices in courts throughout Delaware, including the Delaware Court of Chancery, the Delaware Superior Court, and the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. He also is experienced in appellate proceedings before the Delaware Supreme Court and the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.



Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP is the firm of choice for clients – ranging from Fortune 500 companies to some of the largest national law firms to individuals. It is the oldest Delaware law firm and one of the 10 oldest continuously-practising law firms in the United States. Since its small beginnings in 1826, Potter Anderson & Corroon has become one of the largest and most recognised Delaware law firms, providing a full range of legal services to its local, national and international clients.

At Potter Anderson & Corroon, collaboration is our strong suit. Whether our client is an individual, a small to medium size company or a multinational conglomerate, we strive to achieve our client's goals with an innovative approach built on a mutual understanding of the client's expectations in terms of partnering. We seek to understand our clients' businesses, their objectives, and any fiscal or budgetary restrictions they may have. We assemble a team of seasoned attorneys and paralegals with excellent credentials and relevant subject matter expertise to partner with our clients.

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com